UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

for the

Eastern District of North Carolina

United States of America)	
v.) Case No: 5:08-CR-278-2BR	
Stephen Hardison	—) USM No: 25827-056	
Date of Previous Judgment: June 1, 2009 (Use Date of Last Amended Judgment if Applicable)) Defendant's Attorney Pro Se	
Order Regarding Motion for Sentence	e Reduction Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3582(c)(2)	
Upon motion of the defendant	under 18 U.S.C. § 3582(c)(2) for a reduction in the term	
	ing range that has subsequently been lowered and made retroactive nt to 28 U.S.C. § 994(u), and the court having considered such	
IT IS ORDERED that the motion is:		
\boxtimes DENIED. \square GRANTED and the det	fendant's previously imposed sentence of imprisonment (as reflected	
in the last judgment issued) of	of months is reduced to months.	
	exceeds this sentence, the sentence is reduced to a "Time Served" O) days for administrative purposes of releasing the defendant.	
I. COURT DETERMINATION OF GUIDELINE R.	ANGE (Prior to Any Departures)	
Previous Offense Level:	Amended Offense Level:	
Criminal History Category:	Criminal History Category:	
Previous Guideline Range: to mo	onths Amended Guideline Range: to months	
II. SENTENCE RELATIVE TO AMENDED GUID	ELINE RANGE	
The reduced sentence is within the amended guideling	ne range.	
	ess than the guideline range applicable to the defendant at the time of action, and the reduced sentence is comparably less than the amended	
Other (explain):		

DEFENDANT: STEPHEN HARDISON Judgment - Page 2 of 2

CASE NUMBER: 5:08-CR-278-2BR

III. ADDITIONAL COMMENTS

The court sentenced defendant on June 1, 2009. At that time Amendment 706 to the U.S. Sentencing Guidelines, upon which defendant bases his motion, was in effect. Also, Amendment 715, which specifically addresses the two-level reduction under Amendment 706 to cases such as this involving cocaine base and one or more controlled substances, was in effect. As shown by defendant's Presentence Report paragraph 57, both Amendments were applied to defendant and he in fact received a two-level reduction in his base offense level.

Except as provided above, all provisions of the judgment dated	shall remain in effect.
IT IS SO ORDERED.	200
Order Date: 2/7/2011	Judge's signature
Effective Date:	W. Earl Britt, Senior U.S. District Judge
(if different from order date)	Printed name and title